




Attorney Docket No. 0670-7071

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:) Group Art Unit: 2617
Taichi MAJIMA) Examiner: Naghmeh Mehrpour
Serial No. 10/572,739) CERTIFICATE OF MAILING
Filed: March 21, 2006) I hereby certify that this correspondence is
For: MOBILE COMMUNICATION SYSTEM,) being deposited with the United States Postal
MOBILE COMMUNICATION METHOD,) Service with sufficient postage as First Class
BASE STATION, AND MOBILE) Mail in an envelope addressed to:
STATION) Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450,
) Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on February 27,
) 2009.
) 
)
)

Sue Ann Carr

RESPONSE

Honorable Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

The Official Action mailed September 30, 2008, has been received and its contents carefully noted. Filed concurrently herewith is a *Petition for Two Month Extension of Time*, which extends the shortened statutory period for response to February 28, 2009. Accordingly, the Applicant respectfully submits that this response is being timely filed.

The Applicant notes with appreciation the consideration of the Information Disclosure Statements filed on March 21, 2006, and June 21, 2006.

Paragraph 3 of the Official Action rejects claims 1-15 as anticipated by U.S. Publication No. 2007/0071114 to Sanderford. The Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection because the Official Action has not established an anticipation rejection.

As stated in MPEP § 2131, to establish an anticipation rejection, each and every element as set forth in the claim must be described either expressly or inherently in a